



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/337,737 | 06/22/1999 | YOJI ISHIDA | 21.1927/NLO | 9339 |

21171 7590 04/11/2002

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
700 11TH STREET, NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

KANOF, PEDRO R

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 2164 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/337,737 | Applicant(s) ISHIDA |
| | Examiner KANOF | Art Unit 2164 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 22, 1999.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) All b) Some* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van De Pavert (U.S. Patent No. 5,914,471).

Claims 1, 10,17 and 24: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method, and a computer readable medium encoded with a program for transaction settlement with an electronic cashing card having a non-authentication processing memory and an authentication processing memory, said system comprising:

means for updating an authentication balance stored in a balance area of the authentication processing memory and a non-authentication balance stored in a balance area of the non-authentication processing memory, said means updating the authentication balance to a balance amount after settlement when a transaction is settled by an authentication process having

Art Unit: 2164

a requirement for a personal authentication to be matched, said means updating the non authentication balance to an amount less than or equal to the stored authentication balance when the transaction is settled by the authentication process (Col. 2, lines 57-62 and col.8, line 49-col. 9, line 27); and

means for comparing the non-authentication balance and the authentication balance and determining that an illegal process has been performed with the card when the non-authentication balance is larger than the authentication balance (Col. 4, line 58-col. 5, line 8).

Claims 2, 11 and 18: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, 10 and 17, wherein:

when a transaction is settled by a non-authentication process wherein the personal authentication is not required to be matched, the balance amount after settlement is calculated based on the stored authentication balance and the non-authentication balance is updated to the balance amount after settlement (Col. 3, lines 6-44); and

when a transaction is settled by the authentication process, the balance amount after settlement is calculated based on the stored non-authentication balance and both the authentication balance and the non-authentication balance are updated to the balance amount after settlement (Col. 7, line 39-45).

Art Unit: 2164

Claim 3, 12 and 19: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, 10 and 17, wherein:

when the transaction is settled by a non-authentication process wherein the personal authentication is not required to be matched, the balance amount after settlement is calculated based on the stored non-authentication balance and the non-authentication balance is updated to the balance amount after settlement (Col. 7, lines 51-60); and

when a transaction is settled by the authentication process, the balance amount after settlement is calculated based on the stored authentication balance and the stored non authentication balance, the authentication balance is updated to the balance amount after settlement, and the non-authentication balance is updated according to a preset condition amount (Col. 9, line 43-col. 10, line 12).

Claims 4, 13 and 20: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, 10 and 17, wherein the authentication balance and the non authentication balance are compared in each of successive transactions to be settled by a non authentication process wherein the personal authentication is not required to be matched, when a count of the successive transactions completed is less than or equal to a predetermined number (Col. 10, lines 13-67).

Art Unit: 2164

Claims 5, 14 and 21: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, 10 and 17, wherein:
a settlement amount limit is set for settlement of transactions by a non-authentication process wherein the personal authentication is not required to be matched (Col. 11, lines 47-61);
and

said comparing and determining means determines that an illegal process has been performed with the card when a disbursement amount, to be written in the non-authentication processing memory as a disbursement history, exceeds the settlement amount limit (Col. 11, line 62-col. 12, line 27).

Claims 6 and 22: Van De Pavert discloses a system and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, and 17, wherein when the authentication process is invoked to perform a deposit or to settle a transaction, a money amount is deposited for the authentication process and is written to a predetermined area of the non-authentication processing memory, the money amount comprising at least one of a predetermined cash amount and a predetermined rate amount (Col. 1, lines 1-46).

Claims 7, 16 and 23: Van De Pavert discloses a system, a method and a computer readable medium encoded with a program as recited in claims 1, 10 and 17, further comprising within the card:

Art Unit: 2164

arithmetic means for executing arithmetic calculations for the authentication process and a non-authentication process wherein the personal authentication is not required to be matched, said arithmetic means further controlling data reading and writing operations from and to the non-authentication processing memory and the authentication processing memory (Col. 13, lines 45-62); and

input/output means for executing data input/output operations between the arithmetic means and an external unit (Col. 13, line 62-col. 14, line 22).

Claim 8: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 1, wherein the card comprises an integrated circuit (smart card uses integrated circuits (Col. 12, lines 28-37).

Claim 9: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 1, wherein the card is a prepaid card (Col. 12, lines 28-48).

Claim 15: Van De Pavert discloses the method recited in claim 10, wherein when the authentication process is invoked to perform a deposit or to settle a transaction, a money amount is deposited for the authentication process and is written to a predetermined area of the non-authentication processing memory, the money amount comprising at least one of a predetermined cash amount and a predetermined rate amount (Col. 14, lines 23-39).

Art Unit: 2164

Claim 25: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein the system further comprises a comparison unit comparing the stored authentication balance and the stored non-authentication balance when a transaction is to be settled with the card (Col. 8, line 49-col. 9, line 27).

Claim 26: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein an authentication processing memory and a non-authentication processing memory are included in the memory, the authentication processing memory storing the authentication balance and the non-authentication memory storing the non-authentication balance (Col. 10, lines 13-67).

Claim 27: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein a money processing memory storing both the authentication balance and the non-authentication balance is included in the memory (Col. 14, lines 23-39)

Claim 28: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein the card further includes an input/output interface for transferring data between the card and a device external thereto (Col. 15, line 59-col. 16, line 20).

Art Unit: 2164

Claim 29: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 25, wherein the system further comprises an arithmetic unit for performing arithmetic calculations for the authentication process and the non authentication process (Col. 7, lines 51-60).

Claim 30: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein the system further comprises a synchronization unit executing a synchronization process after a deposit has been performed by the authentication process, the synchronization process including updating a non-authentication disbursement history in the memory based on the authentication balance after the deposit (Col. 9, line 43-col. 10, line 12).

Claim 31: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein said card further includes a read/write controller updating the authentication balance and the non-authentication balance under control of the control unit (Col. 11, line 62-col. 12, line 27).

Claims 32, 33, 34 and 35: Van De Pavert discloses the system recited in claim 24, wherein the control unit, the comparison unit, the arithmetic unit and the synchronization unit are included in the card (Col. 12, lines 28-48).

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Pedro R. Kanof whose telephone number is (703) 308-9552. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Vincent Millin, can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-1396.
Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PRK-4/5/02



VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100